Case: 11-16369 11/21/2012 ID: 8411231 DktEntry: 32-1 Page: 1 of 3 (1 of 8)

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

NOV 21 2012

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

KERILEI R. OLDOERP,) No. 11-16369
Plaintiff – Appellant,) D.C. No. 3:08-cv-05278-RS
V.) MEMORANDUM*
WELLS FARGO & COMPANY))
LONG TERM DISABILITY PLAN;)
METROPOLITAN LIFE)
INSURANCE COMPANY,)
)
Defendants – Appellees.)
	_)

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California Richard Seeborg, District Judge, Presiding

Argued and Submitted November 7, 2012 San Francisco, California

Before: FERNANDEZ and BERZON, Circuit Judges, and SMITH,**

District Judge.

Kerilei Oldoerp, who was covered by the Wells Fargo & Company Long

^{*}This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**}The Honorable William E. Smith, United States District Judge for the District of Rhode Island, sitting by designation.

Term Disability Plan ("Plan"), a Plan insured and administered by Metropolitan Life Insurance Company ("MetLife"), brought this action when she was denied long term disability benefits. She appeals the district court's determination that the benefits were properly denied. We reverse and remand.

The district court decided this matter by applying an abuse of discretion standard to MetLife's decision. However, unless the Plan conferred discretion upon MetLife, review should have been de novo. See Firestone Tire & Rubber Co. v. Bruch, 489 U.S. 101, 115, 109 S. Ct. 948, 956–57, 103 L. Ed. 2d 80 (1989); see also Metro. Life Ins. Co. v. Glenn, 554 U.S. 105, 111, 128 S. Ct. 2343, 2348, 171 L. Ed. 2d 299 (2008). On the record before us, the Plan, by its terms, was made up of the group policy, the Certificate of Insurance, and amendments or endorsements to the group policy. There is no indication that any one of those documents confers discretion upon MetLife. The Summary Plan Description ("SPD")³ and a document referred to as additional information⁴ did indicate that MetLife has

¹The district court ruled before the Supreme Court's decision in <u>CIGNA</u> Corp. v. Amara, __ U.S. __, 131 S. Ct. 1866, 179 L. Ed. 2d 843 (2011).

²The Certificate declares that those documents constitute the entire contract.

³The SPD declares that it is meant to be informative, but cannot "change any provisions of the actual plan documents."

⁴The additional information in question is set forth under the heading (continued...)

discretionary authority. However, the Supreme Court has made it clear that extraneous documents, like SPDs, "[are] not [themselves] part of the plan."

Amara, __ U.S. at __, 131 S. Ct. at 1877. As the Court pointed out, summary documents make statements "about the plan, but . . . their statements do not themselves constitute the terms of the plan." Id. at __, 131 S. Ct. at 1878; see also Skinner v. Northrop Grumman Ret. Plan B, 673 F.3d 1162, 1165 (9th Cir. 2012) (recognizing that "SPD language [is not] an enforceable part of the retirement plan"). Thus, we reject MetLife's argument that because of the SPD and the ERISA Information document, the Plan confers discretion upon it. The district court erred, and must now review MetLife's "denial of benefits de novo." Abatie v. Alta Health & Life Ins. Co., 458 F.3d 955, 963 (9th Cir. 2006) (en banc).

REVERSED and REMANDED.

⁴(...continued)

[&]quot;ERISA Information," which, itself, is in the form of a general information and summary document.

Case: 11-16369 11/21/2012 ID: 8411231 DktEntry: 32-2 Page: 1 of 5 (4 of 8)

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Office of the Clerk

95 Seventh Street San Francisco, CA 94103

Information Regarding Judgment and Post-Judgment Proceedings

Judgment

• This Court has filed and entered the attached judgment in your case. Fed. R. App. P. 36. Please note the filed date on the attached decision because all of the dates described below run from that date, not from the date you receive this notice.

Mandate (Fed. R. App. P. 41; 9th Cir. R. 41-1 & -2)

• The mandate will issue 7 days after the expiration of the time for filing a petition for rehearing or 7 days from the denial of a petition for rehearing, unless the Court directs otherwise. To file a motion to stay the mandate, file it electronically via the appellate ECF system or, if you are a pro se litigant or an attorney with an exemption from using appellate ECF, file one original motion on paper.

Petition for Panel Rehearing (Fed. R. App. P. 40; 9th Cir. R. 40-1) Petition for Rehearing En Banc (Fed. R. App. P. 35; 9th Cir. R. 35-1 to -3)

(1) A. Purpose (Panel Rehearing):

- A party should seek panel rehearing only if one or more of the following grounds exist:
 - ► A material point of fact or law was overlooked in the decision;
 - A change in the law occurred after the case was submitted which appears to have been overlooked by the panel; or
 - An apparent conflict with another decision of the Court was not addressed in the opinion.
- Do not file a petition for panel rehearing merely to reargue the case.

B. Purpose (Rehearing En Banc)

• A party should seek en banc rehearing only if one or more of the following grounds exist:

Case: 11-16369 11/21/2012 ID: 8411231 DktEntry: 32-2 Page: 2 of 5 (5 of 8)

Consideration by the full Court is necessary to secure or maintain uniformity of the Court's decisions; or

- ► The proceeding involves a question of exceptional importance; or
- The opinion directly conflicts with an existing opinion by another court of appeals or the Supreme Court and substantially affects a rule of national application in which there is an overriding need for national uniformity.

(2) Deadlines for Filing:

- A petition for rehearing may be filed within 14 days after entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1).
- If the United States or an agency or officer thereof is a party in a civil case, the time for filing a petition for rehearing is 45 days after entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1).
- If the mandate has issued, the petition for rehearing should be accompanied by a motion to recall the mandate.
- See Advisory Note to 9th Cir. R. 40-1 (petitions must be received on the due date).
- An order to publish a previously unpublished memorandum disposition extends the time to file a petition for rehearing to 14 days after the date of the order of publication or, in all civil cases in which the United States or an agency or officer thereof is a party, 45 days after the date of the order of publication. 9th Cir. R. 40-2.

(3) Statement of Counsel

• A petition should contain an introduction stating that, in counsel's judgment, one or more of the situations described in the "purpose" section above exist. The points to be raised must be stated clearly.

(4) Form & Number of Copies (9th Cir. R. 40-1; Fed. R. App. P. 32(c)(2))

- The petition shall not exceed 15 pages unless it complies with the alternative length limitations of 4,200 words or 390 lines of text.
- The petition must be accompanied by a copy of the panel's decision being challenged.
- An answer, when ordered by the Court, shall comply with the same length limitations as the petition.
- If a pro se litigant elects to file a form brief pursuant to Circuit Rule 28-1, a petition for panel rehearing or for rehearing en banc need not comply with Fed. R. App. P. 32.

Case: 11-16369 11/21/2012 ID: 8411231 DktEntry: 32-2 Page: 3 of 5 (6 of 8)

• The petition or answer must be accompanied by a Certificate of Compliance found at Form 11, available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms*.

• You may file a petition electronically via the appellate ECF system. No paper copies are required unless the Court orders otherwise. If you are a pro se litigant or an attorney exempted from using the appellate ECF system, file one original petition on paper. No additional paper copies are required unless the Court orders otherwise.

Bill of Costs (Fed. R. App. P. 39, 9th Cir. R. 39-1)

- The Bill of Costs must be filed within 14 days after entry of judgment.
- See Form 10 for additional information, available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms*.

Attorneys Fees

- Ninth Circuit Rule 39-1 describes the content and due dates for attorneys fees applications.
- All relevant forms are available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms* or by telephoning (415) 355-7806.

Petition for a Writ of Certiorari

• Please refer to the Rules of the United States Supreme Court at www.supremecourt.gov

Counsel Listing in Published Opinions

- Please check counsel listing on the attached decision.
- If there are any errors in a published <u>opinion</u>, please send a letter **in** writing within 10 days to:
 - ► West Publishing Company; 610 Opperman Drive; PO Box 64526; St. Paul, MN 55164-0526 (Attn: Kathy Blesener, Senior Editor);
 - ▶ and electronically file a copy of the letter via the appellate ECF system by using "File Correspondence to Court," or if you are an attorney exempted from using the appellate ECF system, mail the Court one copy of the letter.

Form 10. 1	Bill of Costs				(Rev	
	Case: 11-16369	11/21/2012	ID: 8411231	DktEntry: 32-2	Page: 4 of 5	(7 of 8

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

BILL OF COSTS

If you wish to file a bill of costs, it MUST be submitted on this form and filed, with the clerk, with proof of service, within 14 days of the date of entry of judgment, and in accordance with 9th Circuit Rule 39-1. A late bill of costs must be accompanied by a motion showing good cause. Please refer to FRAP 39, 28 U.S.C. § 1920, and 9th Circuit Rule 39-1 when preparing your bill of costs.								
		V.				9th	Cir. No.	
The Clerk is requested	to tax the fo	ollowing co	ests against					
Cost Taxable under FRAP 39, 28 U.S.C. § 1920, 9th Cir. R. 39-1	REQUESTED Each Column Must Be Completed			ALLOWED To Be Completed by the Clerk				
	No. of Docs.	Pages per Doc.	Cost per Page*	TOTAL COST	No. of Docs.	Pages per Doc.	Cost per Page*	TOTAL COST
Excerpt of Record			\$	\$			\$	\$
Opening Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$
Answering Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$
Reply Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$
Other**			\$	\$			\$	\$

TOTAL: | \$ |

Attorneys' fees cannot be requested on this form.

TOTAL: | \$ |

^{*} Costs per page may not exceed .10 or actual cost, whichever is less. 9th Circuit Rule 39-1.

^{**} Other: Any other requests must be accompanied by a statement explaining why the item(s) should be taxed pursuant to 9th Circuit Rule 39-1. Additional items without such supporting statements will not be considered.

Case: 11-16369 11/Form 10. Bill of Costs - Continued	21/2012	ID: 8411231	DktEntry: 32-2	Page: 5 of 5	(8 of 8)
I, were actually and necessarily perform			rjury that the servic		ire taxed
Signature			The second of th		
("s/" plus attorney's name if submitted	electronical	lly)			
Date					
Name of Counsel:					
Attorney for:					
(To Do Consultated books Close)					
(To Be Completed by the Clerk)					
Date	Costs	are taxed in the am	ount of \$		
	Clerk o	of Court			
	By:			, Deputy Clerk	